

# Cotorsion Modules and a Problem of George Bergman

Kulumani M. Rangaswamy  
University of Colorado, Colorado Springs

Rings and Wings Algebra Seminar  
September 27, 2017

# Introduction

- Let  $I$  be an infinite set. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of non-trivial groups or non-trivial rings, or modules, or lattices or monoids. The direct product  $P = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is always big: Its cardinality is at least  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

# Introduction

- Let  $I$  be an infinite set. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of non-trivial groups or non-trivial rings, or modules, or lattices or monoids. The direct product  $P = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is always big: Its cardinality is at least  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .
- George Bergman (Pacific J. Math. vol.274 (2015)) investigated objects  $A$  (groups, rings, modules, lattices or monoids) which have the property that every homomorphism  $f : P \rightarrow A$  has its image "small". For instance, he was considering the situation when the  $\ker(f)$  is an ultra product of the  $A_i$  based on some ultra filter of subsets of the index set  $I$ .

# Introduction

- Let  $I$  be an infinite set. Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of non-trivial groups or non-trivial rings, or modules, or lattices or monoids. The direct product  $P = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is always big: Its cardinality is at least  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .
- George Bergman (Pacific J. Math. vol.274 (2015)) investigated objects  $A$  (groups, rings, modules, lattices or monoids) which have the property that every homomorphism  $f : P \rightarrow A$  has its image "small". For instance, he was considering the situation when the  $\ker(f)$  is an ultra product of the  $A_i$  based on some ultra filter of subsets of the index set  $I$ .
- **Problem** (Stated for modules): Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. Let  $P = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  where  $A_i = R$  for all  $i$  and let  $S = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , considered as left  $R$ -modules. Describe the left  $R$ -modules  $M$  which have the property that every homomorphism  $f : S \rightarrow M$  extends to a homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow M$ .

# Cotorsion abelian groups

- We will try to answer this question in two cases: (i) when  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and thus the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $M$  are just the additively written abelian groups and (ii) when  $R$  is arbitrary integral domain, by using cotorsion pairs of classes of  $R$ -modules and homological methods.

# Cotorsion abelian groups

- We will try to answer this question in two cases: (i) when  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and thus the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $M$  are just the additively written abelian groups and (ii) when  $R$  is arbitrary integral domain, by using cotorsion pairs of classes of  $R$ -modules and homological methods.
- Recall, a group  $G$  is called a **torsion group** if every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is a **torsion-free group** if every element other than the identity element in  $G$  has infinite order.

# Cotorsion abelian groups

- We will try to answer this question in two cases: (i) when  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and thus the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $M$  are just the additively written abelian groups and (ii) when  $R$  is arbitrary integral domain, by using cotorsion pairs of classes of  $R$ -modules and homological methods.
- Recall, a group  $G$  is called a **torsion group** if every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is a **torsion-free group** if every element other than the identity element in  $G$  has infinite order.
- **Definition:** An abelian group  $G$  is called a **cotorsion group** if whenever  $G \subseteq H$  and  $H/G$  is torsion-free, then  $H = G \oplus K$ . Equivalently,  $G$  is cotorsion iff  $G$  is a direct summand of  $H$  whenever  $H/G \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . (will prove later)

# Cotorsion abelian groups

- We will try to answer this question in two cases: (i) when  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and thus the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $M$  are just the additively written abelian groups and (ii) when  $R$  is arbitrary integral domain, by using cotorsion pairs of classes of  $R$ -modules and homological methods.
- Recall, a group  $G$  is called a **torsion group** if every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is a **torsion-free group** if every element other than the identity element in  $G$  has infinite order.
- **Definition:** An abelian group  $G$  is called a **cotorsion group** if whenever  $G \subseteq H$  and  $H/G$  is torsion-free, then  $H = G \oplus K$ . Equivalently,  $G$  is cotorsion iff  $G$  is a direct summand of  $H$  whenever  $H/G \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . (will prove later)
- **Examples:** (i) Any finite abelian group; (ii)  $\bigoplus \mathbb{Q}$  or any injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module; (iii)  $\prod_p \mathbb{Z}(p)$ ;  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ ,  $F_n$  a finite group; (iv) Any abelian group admitting a compact group topology; (v) Homomorphic images of pure-injective abelian groups; (vi)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is **NOT** a cotorsion group.



- **History:** D.K. Harrison introduced the concept of cotorsion groups:

- **History:** D.K. Harrison introduced the concept of cotorsion groups:
- If  $T$  is any torsion abelian group, then  $Ext(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, T)$  is a cotorsion abelian group. If  $C$  is any cotorsion group, then  $Tor(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, C)$  is a torsion abelian group.

- **History:** D.K. Harrison introduced the concept of cotorsion groups:
- If  $T$  is any torsion abelian group, then  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, T)$  is a cotorsion abelian group. If  $C$  is any cotorsion group, then  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, C)$  is a torsion abelian group.
- He showed that there is a categorical equivalence between "reduced" torsion groups and "adjusted" cotorsion groups by  $T \mapsto \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, T)$  and  $C \mapsto \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, C)$ . The functors  $\text{Ext}$  and  $\text{Tor}$  act as inverse functors on these categories:

$$\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, T)) \cong T \text{ and } \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, C)) \cong C.$$

# Properties of P

- Let  $P = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n \rangle$  be a direct product of infinite cyclic (abelian) groups  $\langle e_n \rangle$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

# Properties of $P$

- Let  $P = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n \rangle$  be a direct product of infinite cyclic (abelian) groups  $\langle e_n \rangle$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .
- If  $D = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (finitely generated free abelian group), then every homomorphism  $f : P \rightarrow D$  satisfies  $f(e_n) = 0$  for all except finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . — a Slender group

# Properties of $P$

- Let  $P = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n \rangle$  be a direct product of infinite cyclic (abelian) groups  $\langle e_n \rangle$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .
- ① If  $D = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (finitely generated free abelian group), then every homomorphism  $f : P \rightarrow D$  satisfies  $f(e_n) = 0$  for all except finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . — a Slender group
- ② Given any  $a \in P$ , there is a finitely generated free direct summand  $D$  of  $P$  such that  $a \in D$  (and  $P = D \oplus E$ ). — Separable group.

# Properties of $P$

- Let  $P = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n \rangle$  be a direct product of infinite cyclic (abelian) groups  $\langle e_n \rangle$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .
- ① If  $D = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (finitely generated free abelian group), then every homomorphism  $f : P \rightarrow D$  satisfies  $f(e_n) = 0$  for all except finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . — a Slender group
- ② Given any  $a \in P$ , there is a finitely generated free direct summand  $D$  of  $P$  such that  $a \in D$  (and  $P = D \oplus E$ ). – Separable group.
- ③ For any non-zero subgroup  $A$  of  $P$ ,  $P/A = B \oplus C$ , where  $B \cong P$  or  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (finitely generated free) and  $C$  is a cotorsion group.

# Properties of $P$

- Let  $P = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n \rangle$  be a direct product of infinite cyclic (abelian) groups  $\langle e_n \rangle$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .
- ① If  $D = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (finitely generated free abelian group), then every homomorphism  $f : P \rightarrow D$  satisfies  $f(e_n) = 0$  for all except finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . — a Slender group
- ② Given any  $a \in P$ , there is a finitely generated free direct summand  $D$  of  $P$  such that  $a \in D$  (and  $P = D \oplus E$ ). – Separable group.
- ③ For any non-zero subgroup  $A$  of  $P$ ,  $P/A = B \oplus C$ , where  $B \cong P$  or  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  (finitely generated free) and  $C$  is a cotorsion group.
- REFERENCE: L. Fuchs, *Abelian Groups*, Springer Monographs in Math, Springer (2015).



# The Extension Property

- **Theorem 1:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group,  $P = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n \rangle$  and  $S = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n \rangle$  where  $\langle e_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $n$ . Suppose every homomorphism  $f : S \rightarrow G$  extends to a homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow G$ . Then every countable subgroup of  $G$  embeds in a cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ .

- **Proof:** Suppose, on the contrary,  $G$  contains a countable subgroup  $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \not\subseteq$  any cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ .

- **Proof:** Suppose, on the contrary,  $G$  contains a countable subgroup  $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \not\subseteq$  any cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} X_k$  be an infinite partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $|X_k| = \aleph_0$  for all  $k$ . Then we can write  $S = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} S_k$  where  $S_k = \bigoplus_{n \in X_k} \langle e_n \rangle$ .

- **Proof:** Suppose, on the contrary,  $G$  contains a countable subgroup  $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \not\subseteq$  any cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} X_k$  be an infinite partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $|X_k| = \aleph_0$  for all  $k$ . Then we can write  $S = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} S_k$  where  $S_k = \bigoplus_{n \in X_k} \langle e_n \rangle$ .
- Define a homomorphism  $f : S \longrightarrow G$  by  $f(e_n) = a_k$  for all  $n \in X_k$ , so  $f(S_k) = \langle a_k \rangle$ .

- **Proof:** Suppose, on the contrary,  $G$  contains a countable subgroup  $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \not\subseteq$  any cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} X_k$  be an infinite partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $|X_k| = \aleph_0$  for all  $k$ . Then we can write  $S = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} S_k$  where  $S_k = \bigoplus_{n \in X_k} \langle e_n \rangle$ .
- Define a homomorphism  $f : S \rightarrow G$  by  $f(e_n) = a_k$  for all  $n \in X_k$ , so  $f(S_k) = \langle a_k \rangle$ .
- **Claim:** This  $f$  does not extend to a homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow G$ .

- **Proof:** Suppose, on the contrary,  $G$  contains a countable subgroup  $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \not\subseteq$  any cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} X_k$  be an infinite partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $|X_k| = \aleph_0$  for all  $k$ . Then we can write  $S = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} S_k$  where  $S_k = \bigoplus_{n \in X_k} \langle e_n \rangle$ .
- Define a homomorphism  $f : S \rightarrow G$  by  $f(e_n) = a_k$  for all  $n \in X_k$ , so  $f(S_k) = \langle a_k \rangle$ .
- **Claim:** This  $f$  does not extend to a homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow G$ .
- Suppose such a  $g$  exists. Now,  $A \subseteq \text{im}(g) = B \oplus C$ , where  $B \cong P$  or is finitely generated free and  $C$  is cotorsion (Property 3). By supposition,  $A \not\subseteq C$  and so if  $\pi : B \oplus C \rightarrow B$  is the coordinate projection with  $\ker(\pi) = C$ , then  $\pi(a_k) \neq 0$  for some  $k$ . By Property 2.,  $\pi(a_k) \in D$ , a finitely generated free summand of  $B$ . If  $\pi' : B \rightarrow D$  is a coordinate projection, then we have a homomorphism  $\pi' \pi g : P \xrightarrow{g} B \oplus C \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{\pi'} D$ . By Property 1.,  $\pi' \pi g(e_n) = 0$  for all except finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is a contradiction since for infinitely many  $e_n \in X_k$ ,  $\pi' \pi g(e_n) = \pi' \pi f(e_n) = \pi' \pi(a_k) \neq 0$ . So  $A \subseteq C$ .

# A new characterization of Cotorsion abelian groups

- **Theorem 2:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group. If every countable subgroup of  $G$  embeds in a cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G$  itself is cotorsion.

# A new characterization of Cotorsion abelian groups

- **Theorem 2:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group. If every countable subgroup of  $G$  embeds in a cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G$  itself is cotorsion.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $G \subseteq H$  with  $H/G = Q$ . We wish to show that  $H = G \oplus D$  for some  $D \subseteq H$ . Now write the countable group  $Q = \{r_n : n \geq 1\}$ . For each  $n$ , let  $x_n \in H$  such that  $x_n + G = r_n$ . If  $X = \langle \{x_n : n \geq 1\} \rangle$ , then  $G + X = H$ . Consider  $G \cap X$ . Embed the countable subgroup  $G \cap X$  in a cotorsion subgroup  $C$  of  $G$ . If  $Y = C + X$ , then  $Y/C \cong Q$ . Since  $C$  is cotorsion,  $Y = C \oplus D$ . Then it is easy to see that  $H = G \oplus D$ . This proves Theorem 2..



# A new characterization of Cotorsion abelian groups

- **Theorem 2:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group. If every countable subgroup of  $G$  embeds in a cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G$  itself is cotorsion.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $G \subseteq H$  with  $H/G = \mathbb{Q}$ . We wish to show that  $H = G \oplus D$  for some  $D \subseteq H$ . Now write the countable group  $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_n : n \geq 1\}$ . For each  $n$ , let  $x_n \in H$  such that  $x_n + G = r_n$ . If  $X = \langle \{x_n : n \geq 1\} \rangle$ , then  $G + X = H$ . Consider  $G \cap X$ . Embed the countable subgroup  $G \cap X$  in a cotorsion subgroup  $C$  of  $G$ . If  $Y = C + X$ , then  $Y/C \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $C$  is cotorsion,  $Y = C \oplus D$ . Then it is easy to see that  $H = G \oplus D$ . This proves Theorem 2..
- [Justification: Now  $G + D = G + C + D = G + X = H$ . Also,  $G \cap D \subseteq G \cap (C + X) \cap D = (C + (G \cap X)) \cap D = C \cap D = 0$ , as  $(G \cap X) \subseteq C$ . So  $H = G \oplus D$ ].

# A new characterization of Cotorsion abelian groups

- **Theorem 2:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group. If every countable subgroup of  $G$  embeds in a cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G$  itself is cotorsion.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $G \subseteq H$  with  $H/G = \mathbb{Q}$ . We wish to show that  $H = G \oplus D$  for some  $D \subseteq H$ . Now write the countable group  $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_n : n \geq 1\}$ . For each  $n$ , let  $x_n \in H$  such that  $x_n + G = r_n$ . If  $X = \langle \{x_n : n \geq 1\} \rangle$ , then  $G + X = H$ . Consider  $G \cap X$ . Embed the countable subgroup  $G \cap X$  in a cotorsion subgroup  $C$  of  $G$ . If  $Y = C + X$ , then  $Y/C \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $C$  is cotorsion,  $Y = C \oplus D$ . Then it is easy to see that  $H = G \oplus D$ . This proves Theorem 2..
- [Justification: Now  $G + D = G + C + D = G + X = H$ . Also,  $G \cap D \subseteq G \cap (C + X) \cap D = (C + (G \cap X)) \cap D = C \cap D = 0$ , as  $(G \cap X) \subseteq C$ . So  $H = G \oplus D$ ].
- Thus for abelian groups, we have a solution to Bergman's Problem.

# A new characterization of Cotorsion abelian groups

- **Theorem 2:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group. If every countable subgroup of  $G$  embeds in a cotorsion subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G$  itself is cotorsion.
- **Proof:** Suppose  $G \subseteq H$  with  $H/G = \mathbb{Q}$ . We wish to show that  $H = G \oplus D$  for some  $D \subseteq H$ . Now write the countable group  $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_n : n \geq 1\}$ . For each  $n$ , let  $x_n \in H$  such that  $x_n + G = r_n$ . If  $X = \langle \{x_n : n \geq 1\} \rangle$ , then  $G + X = H$ . Consider  $G \cap X$ . Embed the countable subgroup  $G \cap X$  in a cotorsion subgroup  $C$  of  $G$ . If  $Y = C + X$ , then  $Y/C \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $C$  is cotorsion,  $Y = C \oplus D$ . Then it is easy to see that  $H = G \oplus D$ . This proves Theorem 2..
- [ Justification: Now  $G + D = G + C + D = G + X = H$ . Also,  $G \cap D \subseteq G \cap (C + X) \cap D = (C + (G \cap X)) \cap D = C \cap D = 0$ , as  $(G \cap X) \subseteq C$ . So  $H = G \oplus D$ ].
- Thus for abelian groups, we have a solution to Bergman's Problem.
- **Corollary 3:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group. Every homomorphism  $f : S \rightarrow G$  extends to a homomorphism  $g : P \rightarrow G$  if and only if  $G$  is a cotorsion group.

# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.

# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- The concept of cotorsion abelian groups generalizes three different ways for modules over the integral domain  $R$ .

# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- The concept of cotorsion abelian groups generalizes three different ways for modules over the integral domain  $R$ .
- **Definition:** (i) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Warfield cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is torsion-free, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .

# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- The concept of cotorsion abelian groups generalizes three different ways for modules over the integral domain  $R$ .
- **Definition:** (i) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Warfield cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is torsion-free, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (ii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Matlis cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M \cong Q$ , then  $N = M \oplus D$ .

# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- The concept of cotorsion abelian groups generalizes three different ways for modules over the integral domain  $R$ .
- **Definition:** (i) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Warfield cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is torsion-free, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (ii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Matlis cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M \cong Q$ , then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (iii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Enochs cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is flat, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .



# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- The concept of cotorsion abelian groups generalizes three different ways for modules over the integral domain  $R$ .
- **Definition:** (i) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Warfield cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is torsion-free, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (ii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Matlis cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M \cong Q$ , then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (iii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Enochs cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is flat, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- In general, Warfield cotorsion  $= >$  Matlis cotorsion  $= >$  Enochs cotorsion, but the arrows cannot be reversed.

# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- The concept of cotorsion abelian groups generalizes three different ways for modules over the integral domain  $R$ .
- **Definition:** (i) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Warfield cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is torsion-free, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (ii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Matlis cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M \cong Q$ , then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (iii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Enochs cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is flat, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- In general, Warfield cotorsion  $= >$  Matlis cotorsion  $= >$  Enochs cotorsion, but the arrows cannot be reversed.
- Our goal is to show that, under certain conditions, each of these classes of  $R$ -modules satisfy the Bergman extension property.

# Generalization to Domains

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- The concept of cotorsion abelian groups generalizes three different ways for modules over the integral domain  $R$ .
- **Definition:** (i) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Warfield cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is torsion-free, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (ii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Matlis cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M \cong Q$ , then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- (iii) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a **Enochs cotorsion module** if, whenever  $M \subseteq N$  and  $N/M$  is flat, then  $N = M \oplus D$ .
- In general, Warfield cotorsion  $= >$  Matlis cotorsion  $= >$  Enochs cotorsion, but the arrows cannot be reversed.
- Our goal is to show that, under certain conditions, each of these classes of  $R$ -modules satisfy the Bergman extension property.
- **First, some Homological Preliminaries:**

- A sequence of modules and maps of the form  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is said to be **exact** at  $B$  if  $\text{im}(f) = \ker(g)$ . Thus  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  exact at  $A$  means that  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ . Likewise,  $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is exact at  $C$  means that  $\text{im}(g) = \ker(C \rightarrow 0) = C$ . Thus if  $A$  is a submodule of  $B$  and  $B/A = C$  we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{\eta} C \rightarrow 0$  where  $i$  is the inclusion map and  $\eta$  is the natural coset map.

- A sequence of modules and maps of the form  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is said to be **exact** at  $B$  if  $\text{im}(f) = \ker(g)$ . Thus  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  exact at  $A$  means that  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ . Likewise,  $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is exact at  $C$  means that  $\text{im}(g) = \ker(C \rightarrow 0) = C$ . Thus if  $A$  is a submodule of  $B$  and  $B/A = C$  we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{\eta} C \rightarrow 0$  where  $i$  is the inclusion map and  $\eta$  is the natural coset map.
- If  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, we call  $B$  an **extension of  $A$  by  $C$** . A second extension  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f'} B' \xrightarrow{g'} C \rightarrow 0$  is said to be **equivalent** to the preceding one if there is an isomorphism  $\phi : B \rightarrow B'$  such that the following diagram is commutative

- A sequence of modules and maps of the form  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is said to be **exact** at  $B$  if  $\text{im}(f) = \ker(g)$ . Thus  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  exact at  $A$  means that  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ . Likewise,  $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is exact at  $C$  means that  $\text{im}(g) = \ker(C \rightarrow 0) = C$ . Thus if  $A$  is a submodule of  $B$  and  $B/A = C$  we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{\eta} C \rightarrow 0$  where  $i$  is the inclusion map and  $\eta$  is the natural coset map.
- If  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, we call  $B$  an **extension of  $A$  by  $C$** . A second extension  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f'} B' \xrightarrow{g'} C \rightarrow 0$  is said to be **equivalent** to the preceding one if there is an isomorphism  $\phi : B \rightarrow B'$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \parallel & & & & \parallel \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

- A sequence of modules and maps of the form  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is said to be **exact** at  $B$  if  $\text{im}(f) = \ker(g)$ . Thus  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  exact at  $A$  means that  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ . Likewise,  $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is exact at  $C$  means that  $\text{im}(g) = \ker(C \rightarrow 0) = C$ . Thus if  $A$  is a submodule of  $B$  and  $B/A = C$  we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{\eta} C \rightarrow 0$  where  $i$  is the inclusion map and  $\eta$  is the natural coset map.

- If  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, we call  $B$  an **extension of  $A$  by  $C$** . A second extension  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f'} B' \xrightarrow{g'} C \rightarrow 0$  is said to be **equivalent** to the preceding one if there is an isomorphism  $\phi : B \rightarrow B'$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \parallel & & \downarrow \phi & & \parallel \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

- Given  $A$  and  $C$ , the set of inequivalent extensions of  $A$  by  $C$  form an abelian group denoted by  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A)$  whose zero element is  $A \oplus C$ .

- Every  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  induces a homomorphism  $Ext_R^1(C, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} Ext_R^1(C, A')$ . To see this, consider



- Every  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  induces a homomorphism

$Ext_R^1(C, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} Ext_R^1(C, A')$ . To see this, consider

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

- $$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow f \\ A' \end{array}$$

- Every  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  induces a homomorphism

$\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \text{Ext}_R^1(C, A')$ . To see this, consider

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

- $$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow f \\ A' \end{array}$$

- $$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{Pushout})$$

- Every  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  induces a homomorphism

$\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \text{Ext}_R^1(C, A')$ . To see this, consider

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

- $$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow f \\ A' \end{array}$$

- $$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{Pushout})$$

- Here  $B'$  is the **Pushout** of  $f, i$  and is given by  $B' = (A' \oplus B)/D$  where  $D = \{(f(a), -i(a)) : a \in A\}$

- Every  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  induces a homomorphism

$\text{Ext}_R^1(C, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \text{Ext}_R^1(C, A')$ . To see this, consider

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

- $$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow f \\ A' \end{array}$$

- $$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{Pushout})$$

- Here  $B'$  is the **Pushout** of  $f, i$  and is given by  $B' = (A' \oplus B)/D$  where  $D = \{(f(a), -i(a)) : a \in A\}$
- For a fixed  $C$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, -)$  is a (covariant) functor from the category **M** of  $R$ -modules to the category **A** of abelian groups.

- Every  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  induces a homomorphism

$Ext_R^1(C, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} Ext_R^1(C, A')$ . To see this, consider

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

- $$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow f \\ A' \end{array}$$

- $$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{Pushout})$$

- Here  $B'$  is the **Pushout** of  $f, i$  and is given by  $B' = (A' \oplus B)/D$  where  $D = \{(f(a), -i(a)) : a \in A\}$
- For a fixed  $C$ ,  $Ext_R^1(C, -)$  is a (covariant) functor from the category **M** of  $R$ -modules to the category **A** of abelian groups.
- Similarly, using a **Pullback** diagram, a homomorphism  $g : C' \rightarrow C$  induces a homomorphism  $Ext_R^1(C, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} Ext_R^1(C', A)$ . For a fixed  $A$ ,  $Ext_R^1(-, A)$  is a (contravariant) functor from the category **M** to the category **A** of abelian groups.

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
- $Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$  and



- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
  - $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(X, C)$  and
  - $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, X)$

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
  - $Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$  and
  - $Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
- Recall,  $Hom_R(X, A) = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow A\}$ .  $Hom_R(X, -)$  and  $Hom_R(-, A)$  functors from **M** to **A**.

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
  - $Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$  and
  - $Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
- Recall,  $Hom_R(X, A) = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow A\}$ .  $Hom_R(X, -)$  and  $Hom_R(-, A)$  functors from  $\mathbf{M}$  to  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- Moreover, using the *Hom* functor we get for any given  $R$ -module  $X$

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
  - $Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$  and
  - $Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
- Recall,  $Hom_R(X, A) = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow A\}$ .  $Hom_R(X, -)$  and  $Hom_R(-, A)$  functors from  $\mathbf{M}$  to  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- Moreover, using the  $Hom$  functor we get for any given  $R$ -module  $X$
- $0 \rightarrow Hom_R(X, A) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, B) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, C) \xrightarrow{\delta} Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
  - $Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$  and
  - $Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
  - Recall,  $Hom_R(X, A) = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow A\}$ .  $Hom_R(X, -)$  and  $Hom_R(-, A)$  functors from  $\mathbf{M}$  to  $\mathbf{A}$ .
  - Moreover, using the  $Hom$  functor we get for any given  $R$ -module  $X$
  - $0 \rightarrow Hom_R(X, A) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, B) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, C) \xrightarrow{\delta} Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$
  - $0 \rightarrow Hom_R(A, X) \rightarrow Hom_R(B, X) \rightarrow Hom_R(C, X) \xrightarrow{\delta} Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
  - $Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$  and
  - $Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
  - Recall,  $Hom_R(X, A) = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow A\}$ .  $Hom_R(X, -)$  and  $Hom_R(-, A)$  functors from  $\mathbf{M}$  to  $\mathbf{A}$ .
  - Moreover, using the  $Hom$  functor we get for any given  $R$ -module  $X$
  - $0 \rightarrow Hom_R(X, A) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, B) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, C) \xrightarrow{\delta} Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$
  - $0 \rightarrow Hom_R(A, X) \rightarrow Hom_R(B, X) \rightarrow Hom_R(C, X) \xrightarrow{\delta} Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
  - **Properties of Hom and Ext:**  $Hom_R(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Hom_R(M_i, N);$
  - $Ext_R^1(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Ext_R^1(M_i, N).$

- **Theorem 4:** Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.
- Then, for any  $R$ -module  $X$ , we obtain the following long exact sequences:
  - $Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$  and
  - $Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
  - Recall,  $Hom_R(X, A) = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow A\}$ .  $Hom_R(X, -)$  and  $Hom_R(-, A)$  functors from  $\mathbf{M}$  to  $\mathbf{A}$ .
  - Moreover, using the  $Hom$  functor we get for any given  $R$ -module  $X$ 
    - $0 \rightarrow Hom_R(X, A) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, B) \rightarrow Hom_R(X, C) \xrightarrow{\delta} Ext_R^1(X, A) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, B) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(X, C)$
    - $0 \rightarrow Hom_R(A, X) \rightarrow Hom_R(B, X) \rightarrow Hom_R(C, X) \xrightarrow{\delta} Ext_R^1(C, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(B, X) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(A, X)$
  - Properties of Hom and Ext:  $Hom_R(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Hom_R(M_i, N);$   
 $Ext_R^1(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Ext_R^1(M_i, N).$
- An  $R$ -module  $M$  is a Matlis Cotorsion module  $\iff Ext_R^1(Q, M) = 0.$

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.



- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $P_\kappa = \prod_{i < \kappa} R_i$ , where  $R_i = R$  for all  $i$   
and let  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{i < \kappa} R_i$ .

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $P_\kappa = \prod_{i < \kappa} R_i$ , where  $R_i = R$  for all  $i$

and let  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{i < \kappa} R_i$ .

- We wish to describe  $R$ -modules  $M$  which have the property that every homomorphism  $S_\kappa \rightarrow M$  extends to a homomorphism  $P_\kappa \rightarrow M$ .

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $P_\kappa = \prod_{i < \kappa} R_i$ , where  $R_i = R$  for all  $i$

and let  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{i < \kappa} R_i$ .

- We wish to describe  $R$ -modules  $M$  which have the property that every homomorphism  $S_\kappa \rightarrow M$  extends to a homomorphism  $P_\kappa \rightarrow M$ .
- To do this, we need to make some restrictions. We restrict to the case when  $\kappa$  is a "good" cardinal, that is, when  $2^\kappa = \kappa^{\aleph_0}$ . Note that  $\aleph_0$  is a "good" cardinal, but  $2^{\aleph_0}$  is not "good". There are arbitrarily large "good" cardinals, e.g., any strongly limit cardinal of cofinality  $\omega$ .

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $P_\kappa = \prod_{i < \kappa} R_i$ , where  $R_i = R$  for all  $i$

and let  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{i < \kappa} R_i$ .

- We wish to describe  $R$ -modules  $M$  which have the property that every homomorphism  $S_\kappa \rightarrow M$  extends to a homomorphism  $P_\kappa \rightarrow M$ .
- To do this, we need to make some restrictions. We restrict to the case when  $\kappa$  is a "good" cardinal, that is, when  $2^\kappa = \kappa^{\aleph_0}$ . Note that  $\aleph_0$  is a "good" cardinal, but  $2^{\aleph_0}$  is not "good". There are arbitrarily large "good" cardinals, e.g., any strongly limit cardinal of cofinality  $\omega$ .
- We also assume that  $|R| \leq \kappa$ .

- Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $Q$  be its field of fractions.
- For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $P_\kappa = \prod_{i < \kappa} R_i$ , where  $R_i = R$  for all  $i$

and let  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{i < \kappa} R_i$ .

- We wish to describe  $R$ -modules  $M$  which have the property that every homomorphism  $S_\kappa \rightarrow M$  extends to a homomorphism  $P_\kappa \rightarrow M$ .
- To do this, we need to make some restrictions. We restrict to the case when  $\kappa$  is a "good" cardinal, that is, when  $2^\kappa = \kappa^{\aleph_0}$ . Note that  $\aleph_0$  is a "good" cardinal, but  $2^{\aleph_0}$  is not "good". There are arbitrarily large "good" cardinals, e.g., any strongly limit cardinal of cofinality  $\omega$ .
- We also assume that  $|R| \leq \kappa$ .
- Let  $C_\kappa$  be the closure of  $S_\kappa$  in the product topology of  $P_\kappa$ . It can be shown that  $C_\kappa/S_\kappa \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa^{\aleph_0}} Q = \bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q$

- **Proposition 5:** Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module of cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$ . Then  $C$  is a Matlis cotorsion module, that is,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .

- **Proposition 5:** Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module of cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$ . Then  $C$  is a Matlis cotorsion module, that is,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .
- **Proof:** Consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow S_\kappa \rightarrow C_\kappa \rightarrow C_\kappa/S_\kappa \rightarrow 0$  where  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{\kappa} R$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $\kappa$ . Applying the  $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$  functor, we get the exact sequence

- **Proposition 5:** Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module of cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$ . Then  $C$  is a Matlis cotorsion module, that is,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .
- **Proof:** Consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow S_\kappa \rightarrow C_\kappa \rightarrow C_\kappa/S_\kappa \rightarrow 0$  where  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{\kappa} R$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $\kappa$ . Applying the  $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$  functor, we get the exact sequence
 
$$\text{Hom}_R(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S_\kappa, C) \xrightarrow{\delta}$$

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(S_\kappa, C). \quad (*)$$



- **Proposition 5:** Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module of cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$ . Then  $C$  is a Matlis cotorsion module, that is,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .

- **Proof:** Consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow S_\kappa \rightarrow C_\kappa \rightarrow C_\kappa/S_\kappa \rightarrow 0$  where  $S_\kappa = \bigoplus_{\kappa} R$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $\kappa$ . Applying the

$\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$  functor, we get the exact sequence

- $\text{Hom}_R(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S_\kappa, C) \xrightarrow{\delta}$   
 $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(S_\kappa, C). \quad (*)$

- Assume  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ . Then we get the exact sequence

$\text{Hom}_R(S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow 0$ . So

$|\text{Hom}_R(S_\kappa, C)| \geq |\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C)|$ . Suppose, by way of contradiction,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) \neq 0$ . Now  $|\text{Hom}_R(S_\kappa, C)| =$

$|\text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus_{\kappa} R, C)| = |\prod_{\kappa} \text{Hom}_R(R, C)| = |\prod_{\kappa} C| \leq (2^\kappa)^\kappa = 2^\kappa$ . On

the other hand, since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) \neq 0$ ,

$|\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C)| = |\text{Ext}_R^1(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C)| = \prod_{2^\kappa} |\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C)| \geq 2^{2^\kappa}$ . We

get a contradiction, since  $2^\kappa \not\geq 2^{2^\kappa}$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ .

- Conversely, suppose  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . Then, clearly  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) = \text{Ext}_R^1(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C) = \prod_{2^\kappa} \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . From the exact sequence  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(S_\kappa, C) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .

- Conversely, suppose  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . Then, clearly
 
$$\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) = \text{Ext}_R^1\left(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C\right) = \prod_{2^\kappa} \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0.$$
 From the exact sequence  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(S_\kappa, C) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .
- **Note:** The above result holds if  $C$  is a Warfield cotorsion module. The same proof works. It also holds when  $C$  is Enochs cotorsion, if we further assume that  $Q$  is a flat  $R$ -module.

- Conversely, suppose  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . Then, clearly  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) = \text{Ext}_R^1(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C) = \prod_{2^\kappa} \text{Ext}_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . From the exact sequence  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(S_\kappa, C) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .
- **Note:** The above result holds if  $C$  is a Warfield cotorsion module. The same proof works. It also holds when  $C$  is Enochs cotorsion, if we further assume that  $Q$  is a flat  $R$ -module.
- **Theorem 6:** Suppose  $C$  is an  $R$ -module with cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$ . Assume further that  $C$  is Matlis cotorsion or Warfield cotorsion or Enochs cotorsion. Then every homomorphism  $f : S_\kappa \rightarrow C$  extends to a homomorphism  $g : C_\kappa \rightarrow C$ .

- Conversely, suppose  $Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . Then, clearly  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) = Ext_R^1(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C) = \prod_{2^\kappa} Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . From the exact sequence  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(S_\kappa, C) = 0$ , we conclude that  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .

- **Note:** The above result holds if  $C$  is a Warfield cotorsion module. The same proof works. It also holds when  $C$  is Enochs cotorsion, if we further assume that  $Q$  is a flat  $R$ -module.

- **Theorem 6:** Suppose  $C$  is an  $R$ -module with cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$ . Assume further that  $C$  is Matlis cotorsion or Warfield cotorsion or Enochs cotorsion. Then every homomorphism  $f : S_\kappa \rightarrow C$  extends to a homomorphism  $g : C_\kappa \rightarrow C$ .

- **Proof:** If  $C$  is Matlis cotorsion so that  $Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$  and so  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) = Ext_R^1(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C) = \prod_{2^\kappa} Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ .

Substituting this in the equation (\*), we get

$Hom_R(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow Hom_R(S_\kappa, C) \xrightarrow{\delta} 0$ . This means that every homomorphism from  $S_\kappa$  to  $C$  extends to a homomorphism from  $C_\kappa$  to  $C$ .

- Conversely, suppose  $Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . Then, clearly  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) = Ext_R^1(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C) = \prod_{2^\kappa} Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ . From the exact sequence  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow Ext_R^1(S_\kappa, C) = 0$ , we conclude that  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa, C) = 0$ .

- **Note:** The above result holds if  $C$  is a Warfield cotorsion module. The same proof works. It also holds when  $C$  is Enochs cotorsion, if we further assume that  $Q$  is a flat  $R$ -module.

- **Theorem 6:** Suppose  $C$  is an  $R$ -module with cardinality  $\leq 2^\kappa$ . Assume further that  $C$  is Matlis cotorsion or Warfield cotorsion or Enochs cotorsion. Then every homomorphism  $f : S_\kappa \rightarrow C$  extends to a homomorphism  $g : C_\kappa \rightarrow C$ .

- **Proof:** If  $C$  is Matlis cotorsion so that  $Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$  and so  $Ext_R^1(C_\kappa/S_\kappa, C) = Ext_R^1(\bigoplus_{2^\kappa} Q, C) = \prod_{2^\kappa} Ext_R^1(Q, C) = 0$ .

Substituting this in the equation (\*), we get

$Hom_R(C_\kappa, C) \rightarrow Hom_R(S_\kappa, C) \xrightarrow{\delta} 0$ . This means that every homomorphism from  $S_\kappa$  to  $C$  extends to a homomorphism from  $C_\kappa$  to  $C$ .